Exhibit 10

Tschirgi, John S.

From: Nelson, Laura E. <LENelson@rkmc.com>
Sent: Friday, October 26, 2012 4:04 PM

To: Cole, Eva W.

Cc: Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park <mchiu@morganlewis.com>

(mchiu@morganlewis.com); Naranjo, Minna Lo (mnaranjo@morganlewis.com); Martinez, David; Baumgart, Jamie L.; Stewart, Jennifer M.; Mustes, George W.

Subject: RE: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.) **Attachments:** Best Buy Proposed Search Terms.doc; CRT custodians.XLSX

Eva,

We are not available on the 19th or the 20th. Does the 28th or the 29th work better for you? The deposition will be at our Minneapolis office: 800 LaSalle Avenue. I understand that you are only seeking a deposition on topics related to pass on at this time. We are designating a witness to respond, subject to our right to respond to your formal notice with objections.

Regarding the search terms, I have reviewed your proposal and have accepted many of your revised terms. Attached is what I hope is our final list. In order to get documents produced in advance of the deposition we need to bring this process to a close.

Regarding custodians, as I told you, two weeks was a rough estimate—it certainly wasn't a guarantee. We completed our custodial interviews earlier this week, and have compiled the attached list of current and former employees that may have documents that are responsive to your requests. We are willing to search the email files, personal files, and relevant shared files of each of these custodians to the extent they exist.

Finally regarding timing, without running the search we do not know the volume of documents that we are discussing. Given that, we certainly cannot make a blind commitment regarding production. That said, if we can get the search started today, we will commit to begin producing documents before the deposition, with a priority on documents that hit on the terms you have indicated you believe are relevant to pass-on.

Best, Laura

From: Cole, Eva W. [mailto:EWCole@winston.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2012 4:04 PM

To: Nelson, Laura E.

Cc: Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park <mchiu@morganlewis.com> (mchiu@morganlewis.com); Naranjo, Minna Lo

(mnaranjo@morganlewis.com); Martinez, David; Baumgart, Jamie L.; Stewart, Jennifer M.; Mustes, George W.

Subject: RE: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.)

Dear Laura,

First, with respect to the 30(b)(6) deposition, the dates you have proposed for the deposition are only approximately 10 days in advance of our deadline to file an opposition to plaintiffs' motion for class certification. Could your witness make himself or herself available November 19 or November 20 instead? In the meantime, we are holding November 28 and 29, but hope that your witness will be available sooner. In addition, could you please provide the name of the witness you will be designating? Finally, please let us know the location of the deposition. As mentioned in one of our previous calls, we note that we only expect

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 3 of 83

this witness to be able to testify to the 30(b)(6) pass-on subjects, but we reserve the right to ask for another witness to speak to the other 30(b)(6) subjects which we agreed to remove from this notice in the interest of efficiency.

With respect to the document production, please find attached our proposed revised search terms. We are willing to accept many of your revisions to our search term proposal. First, we will agree to strike several of the terms that you have suggested we strike (this is reflected in the attached; see items in the "strikethrough" font). Second, we have added each term that you proposed that was not on our original list. Third, we will agree that Best Buy does not have to search for documents whose only "hit" is a term on List A. Fourth, we will agree that Best Buy does not have to search for documents whose only "hit" is a term on List D. With these changes, the result is as follows:

- 1. List A terms (the relevant entities) are responsive only if they appear on a document that also contains a term from List B (the relevant products) or list D (competition and collusion).
- 2. List B terms (the relevant products) are responsive only if they appear on a document that also contains a term from list A (the relevant entities), C (pricing/sales) or D (competition and collusion).
- 3. List C terms (pricing/sales) are responsive only if they appear on a document that also contains a term from list B (the relevant products).
- 4. List D terms (competition and collusion) are responsive only if they appear on a document that also contains a term from list A (the relevant entities) or list B (the relevant products).

In terms of your document collection, the primary hold up is with respect to the custodians and not the search terms. Best Buy cannot unilaterally select custodians for this purpose. Thus, we are concerned by the fact that we have not received any list of proposed custodians to date. As you recall, you told us that it would take approximately 2 weeks to complete your custodian interviews. That was three and a half weeks ago. When do you anticipate providing that information?

We also think it is critical to put into place a schedule for the production of the pass-on documents in the first instance (we can deal with the other documents later). While we appreciate that you "hope" to produce documents in advance of the deposition, that is not a sufficient response. Again, we do not want to have to go to Judge Legge and I am sure he does not want to be bothered with setting a production schedule, but if Best Buy continues to fail to commit to any dates for producing anything, we will be forced to seek his intervention. Thus, please confirm that Best Buy will commit to completing the production of relevant pass-on documents two weeks in advance of the deposition date with the production to be made on a rolling basis.

Finally, thank you for the further clarification regarding your sales and purchasing data. We look forward to receiving the brick and mortar operation data today. As for the dotcom data, please let us know your proposed timeline on this. It will be critical to having this data in advance of the 30(b)(6) deposition. To the

•	•	` '` '	
extent that we do not receive sufficie	nt documents or data in advanc	e of the deposition, please note t	hat we
will be prepared to call your witness a	gain once we do have the infor	mation we need. This would obv	iously
not be in anyone's interest and I hope	we can avoid that.		
Thanks.			

Eva

Best,

Eva Cole

Partner

Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193

D: +1 (212) 294-4609 F: +1 (212) 294-4700

Bio | VCard | Email | www.winston.com



From: Nelson, Laura E. [mailto:LENelson@rkmc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2012 10:04 PM

To: Cole, Eva W.

Cc: Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park < mchiu@morganlewis.com > (mchiu@morganlewis.com); Naranjo, Minna Lo

(mnaranjo@morganlewis.com); Martinez, David; Baumgart, Jamie L.; Stewart, Jennifer M.

Subject: RE: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.)

Eva,

Best Buy will make a witness available for a 30(b)(6) deposition. We are available in Minneapolis on either November 28th or 29th. Please let me know as soon as possible which date you prefer.

You misunderstood me regarding the purchase order and point of sale data, as I did not commit to producing that last week. We will, however, be producing the purchase order and point of sale data for Best Buy's brick and mortar operation tomorrow. As I have previously indicated to you, we identified a discrepancy in our pull of the dotcom data and are continuing to work to resolve that issue. We will be producing that data separately as soon as the issue is resolved.

Finally, regarding documents, it is our hope to be able to produce additional documents in advance of the deposition, however, as I have repeatedly indicated we will need to reach agreement on search terms in order to move forward on that. As you know, we were waiting for over two weeks to receive your edits to the search term list. As I indicated in my email on Thursday, we made every effort to review your extensive edits as quickly as possible. Attached is a revised search term proposal. Please let me know as soon as possible if we are in agreement so that we can begin collection.

Best, Laura

From: Cole, Eva W. [mailto:EWCole@winston.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2012 9:48 AM

To: Nelson, Laura E.

Cc: Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park < mchiu@morganlewis.com); Naranjo, Minna Lo

(<u>mnaranjo@morganlewis.com</u>); Martinez, David; Baumgart, Jamie L.; Stewart, Jennifer M.

Subject: RE: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.)

Laura,

I was unable to reach you by phone this morning. We have still not heard from you regarding whether Best Buy is willing to produce documents related to pass-on and make a witness available for a 30(b)(6) deposition sufficiently in advance of defendants' deadline to respond to plaintiffs' motion for class certification in the

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 5 of 83

indirect purchaser action. Moreover, we have yet to hear any further information concerning the sales and purchasing data, which we understood from you was ready to be produced last week.

Unless we hear from you by the end of the day that Best Buy is willing to produce pass-on documents and a 30(b)(6) witness on the same subject before Thanksgiving and along the lines of the scheduled proposed in my email of last week, we will need to seek the Court's intervention. While we would prefer to resolve this amicably, we are reaching a critical point in time and Best Buy's refusal to commit to any sort of timeline for this discovery (or any discovery for that matter) leaves us with little choice.

Thank you.

Best, Eva

Eva Cole

Partner

Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193

D: +1 (212) 294-4609 F: +1 (212) 294-4700

Bio | VCard | Email | www.winston.com



From: Nelson, Laura E. [mailto:LENelson@rkmc.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 18, 2012 3:26 PM

To: Cole, Eva W.

Cc: Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park <mchiu@morganlewis.com> (mchiu@morganlewis.com); Naranjo, Minna Lo

(mnaranjo@morganlewis.com); Martinez, David; Baumgart, Jamie L.; Stewart, Jennifer M.

Subject: RE: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.)

Eva,

I am reviewing your proposed list and will respond in short order. That said, I note that it took you 15 days to respond to my initial list of search terms, so as I'm sure you can appreciate it may take more than 2 days for me to give these the meaningful review they deserve.

Best, Laura

From: Cole, Eva W. [mailto:EWCole@winston.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2012 8:19 PM

To: Nelson, Laura E.

Cc: Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park <mchiu@morganlewis.com> (mchiu@morganlewis.com); Naranjo, Minna Lo

(mnaranjo@morganlewis.com); Martinez, David; Baumgart, Jamie L.; Stewart, Jennifer M.

Subject: RE: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.)

Laura,

Thanks for the call today. As discussed, attached please find our proposed search terms, intended to identify documents responsive to our complete document requests. The lists should be considered as follows:

- 1. Any document hit upon with a search term from EITHER List A or List D is responsive and should be produced.
- 2. With respect to Lists B and C, we would expect that a document containing any term from List B AND any term from List C is responsive.

We have identified the terms most relevant to the issue of pass-on with yellow highlighting and they are contained exclusively in Lists B and C. Pursuant to our discussion, we expect to receive any documents hit upon with a term highlighted in yellow in List B AND a term highlighted in yellow in List C as soon as possible and in advance of the 30(b)(6) deposition of the witness designated to testify on the pass-on issues.

As explained on the call today, the pass-on information is relevant to our opposition to the indirect purchaser plaintiffs' class certification motion, which is due on December 10. As you may know, the indirect purchaser plaintiffs' expert specifically relied upon certain of Best Buy's data, which makes the discovery concerning the data and the pass-on issues that much more relevant. Even if Best Buy had not filed a complaint in this case, defendants would be entitled to this discovery.

Thus, we would appreciate your confirmation of the following proposed schedule:

- 1. Production of documents relating to the pass-on issue by the end of the month, including the sales and purchasing data.
- 2. 30(b)(6) deposition sometime during the week of November 12.

If you are unable to confirm this schedule by the end of the week, we may be forced to seek the intervention of Judge Legge to ensure that we obtain the discovery we are entitled to under the current class certification schedule.

Separately, could you please let us know whether the written notifications of price increases that are eements you produced are kent in a central file or are otherwise readily

referenced in the vendor agreements you produced are kept in a central file or are otherwise readily
identifiable, and if so, we would ask that such documents be produced right away.
Thank you.

Best regards,

Eva

Eva Cole

Partner Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 7 of 83

New York, NY 10166-4193 D: +1 (212) 294-4609

F: +1 (212) 294-4700

Bio | VCard | Email | www.winston.com



From: Baumgart, Jamie L. [mailto:JLBaumgart@rkmc.com] On Behalf Of Nelson, Laura E.

Sent: Friday, October 12, 2012 5:16 PM **To:** Stewart, Jennifer M.; Cole, Eva W.

Cc: Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park < mchiu@morganlewis.com > (mchiu@morganlewis.com); Naranjo, Minna Lo

(mnaranjo@morganlewis.com); Nelson, Laura E.; Martinez, David; Baumgart, Jamie L.

Subject: RE: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.)

Counsel,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Best,

Laura

From: Stewart, Jennifer M. [mailto:JStewart@winston.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 04, 2012 7:06 PM

To: Nelson, Laura E.

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.; Chiu, Michelle Park < < mchiu@morganlewis.com);

Naranjo, Minna Lo (mnaranjo@morganlewis.com)

Subject: In re CRT Antitrust Litigation, MDL 1917 (N.D. Cal.)

Laura,

Please see the attached correspondence.

Best,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Associate

Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193

D: +1 (212) 294-4743 F: +1 (212) 294-4700

Email | www.winston.com



The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable

Case 4:07-cv-0594	4-JST Doc	ument 2677-10	Filed 07/07/14	Page 8 of 83
privilege. Please do not dissemina				
advice contained in this email was to avoid penalties under the Intern				you (or any other taxpayer)
Information contained in this e-ma Communications Privacy Act, 18		• • •	ged, confidential a	nd covered by the Electronic
If you are not the intended recipier	nt, do not read	l, distribute, or re	produce this transr	mission.
If you have received this e-mail tra and please delete the message from			fy us immediately	of the error by return email
Durguent to requirements related to	nrootioo hofe	era tha II C Inta	nal Davanua Sarvi	as any toy advise contained

Pursuant to requirements related to practice before the U. S. Internal Revenue Service, any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for purposes of (i) avoiding penalties imposed under the U. S. Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any tax-related matter.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. http://www.rkmc.com

advice contained in this email was not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you (or any other taxpayer) to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author.

The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author.

EXHIBIT 11 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

Exhibit 12

Arguello, Sofia

From: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014 8:50 PM

To: lenelson@rkmc.com

Cc:Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.Subject:CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Attachments: NY-#1599985-v2-CRT_-Best_Buy_2nd_30(b)(6)_notice.DOC

Dear Laura,

Attached please find our proposed notice for a second 30(b)(6) deposition of the Best Buy plaintiffs. We would like to get this scheduled for toward the end of April, but, as per our recent phone call, please let us know when you are available to discuss timing once you have considered the appropriate deponent(s).

Thank you and best regards,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Associate

Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193

D: +1 (212) 294-4743 F: +1 (212) 294-4700

Email | winston.com



U.S. NEWS AND WORLD REPORT'S SPORTS LAW "LAW FIRM OF THE YEAR" 2012-2013



1	JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice)	STEVEN A. REIS	S (pro hac vice)		
2	A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice)	DAVID L. YOHAI	(pro hac vice)		
3	ALDO A. BADINI (257086) EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice)	ADAM C. HEMLO WEIL, GOTSHAL	*		
4	MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	767 Fifth Avenue New York, New Yo	ork 10153 0110		
5	200 Park Avenue	Telephone: (212) 3	310-8000		
6	New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700	Facsimile: (212) 3 E-mail: david.yoha			
7	Facsimile: (212) 294-4700				
8	E-mail: jkessler@winston.com				
9	Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corpora				
	and Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushite	a Electric Industrial Co.,	Ltd)		
10					
11					
12					
13	IN THE UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT C	OURT		
14	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFOR	RNIA		
15	SAN FRA	NCISCO DIVISION			
16					
17	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)) Master File	No. 3:07-cv-05944 SC		
18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION) MDL NO. 1) MDL NO. 1917		
19) NOTICE () BEST BUY	F DEPOSITION OF		
20)	Hon. Samuel Conti		
21	This Document Relates To:	Judge:	Holl. Samuel Colli		
22	ALL ACTIONS)			
23)			
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF BEST BUY		Case No. 07-5944 SC		

MDL NO. 1917

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD IN THIS ACTION: 2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), 3 Defendants Panasonic Corporation of North America, MT Picture Display Co., Ltd., and Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd), by and through their counsel and in 4 conjunction with all defendants, will take the deposition of a designated representative of Best Buy 5 Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., 6 7 Bestbuy.com, LLC, and Magnolia HI-FI, Inc. (collectively, "Best Buy"), commencing on _ 8 2014 at 9:30 AM at the offices of Winston & Strawn LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10166. 9 The topics of the deposition are listed in Exhibit A. 10 YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that the deposition shall be recorded stenographically by a certified shorthand reporter who is duly authorized to take and transcribe said deposition, and a 11 12 real-time transcription service such as LiveNote may also be available for the use of counsel. The 13 deposition may also be recorded by videotape, and the defendants reserve the right to use the 14 videotape of the deposition at trial. 15 16 Dated: March ##, 2014 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 17 By: /s/ Eva W. Cole 18 JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) 19 ALDO A. BADINI (257086) 20 EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice) MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) 21 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue 22 New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 23 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 24 E-mail: jkessler@winston.com 25 STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice) DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice) 26 ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 27 767 Fifth Avenue 28 2

New York, New York 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 E-mail: david.yohai@weil.com Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America, and MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 15 of 83

EXHIBIT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. "Any" shall be construed to mean "any and all."
- 2. "CRT" or "CRTs" means any (a) color picture tubes ("CPTs"), which are cathode ray tubes used primarily in color televisions; and (b) color display tubes ("CDTs"), which are used primarily in color computer monitors.
- 3. "CRT Finished Product" means televisions containing CPTs and/or computer monitors containing CDTs.
 - 4. "CRT Product" means any CRT or CRT Finished Product.
- 5. "Defendant" or "Defendants" means any of the entities currently or formerly named as defendants in this litigation and, without limitation, all of their past and present parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, joint ventures, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives (and the parents', subsidiaries', affiliates', or joint ventures' past and present officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives), and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing.
- 6. "Document" or "documents" has the broadest possible meaning pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure including, but not limited to, all writings and other tangible things upon which any form of communication is recorded or reproduced, and preliminary drafts and non-identical copies of the above (whether such copies differ from the original by reason of notation made on such copies or otherwise). Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the term "document" or "documents" includes, but is not limited to, correspondence, memoranda, notes, records, letters, envelopes, telegrams, messages, studies, analyses, contracts, agreements, working papers, accounts, analytical records, reports and/or summaries of investigations, trade letters, press releases, comparisons, books, calendars, diaries, articles, magazines, newspapers, booklets, brochures, pamphlets, circulars, bulletins, notices, drawings, diagrams, instructions, notes or minutes of meetings or of other communications of any type, including inter- and intra-office communications, questionnaires, surveys, charts, graphs, photographs, phonograph recordings, films,

tapes, disks, data cells, print-outs of information stored or maintained by electronic data processing or word processing equipment, including email, and all other data compilations from which information can be obtained (by translation, if necessary, by you through detection devices into usable form), including, but not limited to, electromagnetically sensitive storage media such as floppy disks, hard disks and magnetic tapes, and any preliminary versions, drafts or revisions of any of the foregoing. "Document" or "documents" also includes each and every file folder or other material in which the above items are stored, filed or maintained.

- 7. "Or" and "and" should be construed so as to require the broadest possible response. If, for example, a request calls for information about "A or B" or "A and B," you should produce all information about A and all the information about B, as well as all information about A and B collectively. In other words, "or" and "and" should be read as "and/or."
- 8. "Relating to," "referring to," "regarding" or "with respect to" mean, without limitation, the following concepts: discussing, describing, reflecting, dealing with, and pertaining to, analyzing, evaluating, estimating, constituting, studying, surveying, projecting, assessing, recording, summarizing, criticizing, reporting, commenting, or otherwise involving, in whole or in part.
 - 9. "Relevant Period" means March 1, 1995 to November 25, 2007.
- 10. "You," "Your," or Your Company" mean the responding plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., BestBuy.Com, L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc., together with all present and former directors, officers, employees, or agents of the entities listed in this Definition.

2

3

5

7

8

6

9

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

SCHEDULE OF TOPICS

Witnesses with knowledge of the following matters during the Relevant Period (unless a time period is specified otherwise):

- 1. Your overall corporate structure, including, the identification of departments within Best Buy responsible for the purchase, sale, pricing, marketing or distribution of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products and their functions and the identification of any individuals that had managerial responsibility for the purchase, sale, pricing, marketing or distribution of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products.
- 2. The location of Best Buy's purchasing operations for CRT or CRT Finished Products, including the location of price negotiations.
- 3. The identity and general description of the CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products Best Buy purchased, sold, marketed or distributed.
- 4. The identity of the Defendants from whom you purchased CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products, and the identity and amount of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products You purchased from them (by year, in units and U.S. dollars), if any.
- 5. The identity of any non-Defendant manufacturers, producers or distributors from whom you purchased CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products, and the identity and amount of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products (by year, in units and U.S. dollars) You purchased from them, if any.
 - 6. Best Buy's purchase or acquisition of CRTs or CRT Finished Products, including:
 - (a) purchase volume (by units and dollar value);
 - (b) the price quoted and paid for each purchase, including any discounts (e.g., early-pay, volume, or deduction from invoice ("DFI") discounts), rebates (e.g., guaranteed or unguaranteed volume, trailing credit, debit memoranda), and other terms of purchase;
 - (c) the date and quantity of each purchase;
 - (d) whether each purchase was for internal use or resale;
 - (e) whether each purchase was for a new product or a refurbished product;
 - (f) whether and when Best Buy took physical possession of the purchased CRTs or CRT

10

11

1213

14 15

1617

18

1920

2122

23

2425

26 27

28

Finished Products;

- (g) whether and when transfer of title to Best Buy occurred;
- (h) the reasons for purchasing CRTs or CRT Finished Products in one state or country as opposed to another;
- (i) the person(s) from whom each purchase was made;
- (j) the location of the person(s) who negotiated and consummated the purchase on Best Buy's behalf and on behalf of the person(s) from whom the purchase was made;
- (k) the locations from which and to which each purchase was shipped;
- (1) the locations from which and to which Best Buy was billed for each transaction;
- (m)the persons and locations from which and to which purchase orders and payments were issued; and
- (n) the name of each entity from which You acquired the CRTs or CRT Finished Products.
- 7. The factors Best Buy considered in determining (a) from which Defendant(s) and/or non-Defendant(s) to purchase CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products, and (b) which CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products to purchase from particular Defendants and non-Defendants.
- 8. The process by which You negotiated, entered into, approved or ratified purchase agreements or contracts for CRT Finished Products, including: (a) Your policies and practices regarding the negotiation of terms and conditions of such sales contracts; (b) use of standardized sales or purchase contracts; (c) use of "MFN" (Most Favored Nation) or "MFC" (Most Favored Company) clauses or similar price-protection clauses; and (d) the identity and location of documents that relate to the matters specified in this topic.
- 9. Your participation in any discounts, promotions, rebates or advertising cooperative programs provided or sponsored by any Defendant or non-Defendant from whom You purchased CRT Finished Products.
- 10. Best Buy's sales of CRTs or CRT Finished Products, whether direct sales or sales by any person or entity purchasing or incorporating such CRTs or CRT Finished Products into other CRT Finished Products on Best Buy's behalf, including Best Buy's incorporation of CRTs in any

1	other product or resale of CRTs or CRT Finished Products, including: (a) the sales volume (by units		
2	and dollar value); (b) the price quoted and received for each sale (including any discounts, rebates,		
3	and other terms of sale); (c) the date and quantity of each sale; and (d) the person(s) to whom such		
4	4 CRTs or CRT Finished Products were sold.		
5	11.	Your policies and practices for setting the price at which You sold CRT Finished	
6	6 Products to Your customers, including consideration or use of the following:		
7	(a)	formulas;	
8	(b)	factors such as cost, supply, demand, competitor pricing, market forecasts, and product	
9		specifications;	
10	(c)	price guidelines or price lists;	
11	(d)	negotiations or negotiated prices;	
12	(e)	commission costs;	
13	(f)	average costs;	
14	(g)	why pay prices;	
15	(h)	minimum advertised prices;	
16	(i)	meet-comping;	
17	(j)	alternative distribution channels;	
18	(k)	the percentage of price attributable to CRTs contained in CRT Finished Products sold;	
19	(1)	any increase of price that Best Buy quoted or charged to any customer that was	
20		attributable to Best Buy's alleged overpayment of CRTs;	
21	(m)	rebates;	
22	(n)	below-cost pricing;	
23	(0)	bundled product or services pricing;	
24	(p)	most-favored-nation pricing;	
25	(q)	sale pricing;	
26	(r)	market development funds or demo budgets;	
27	(s)	advertising funds;	
28		0	

- 2
- 3
- 5
- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- (t) non-price consideration;
- loss-leader pricing; (u)
- early-pay discounts; (v)
- "min sell prices"; (w)
- "closest thing to wholesale" prices; (x)
- builder prices; (y)
- spiffs or spivs; and (z)
- (aa) if and how these policies, procedures, practices, methods, formulas, or factors vary by purchaser.
- 12. How Best Buy's CRT Finished Products were marketed for sale, including whether factors other than price were evidence in the marketing materials and how the marketing strategy was determined and implemented for the CRT Finished Products sold.
- 13. Your use of discounts, promotions, rebates or loyalty programs in connection with the sale of CRT Finished Products to Your customers, including how You recorded such discounts or rebates, and the identity and location of Documents or data recording such discounts or rebates.
- 14. Other products that Your Company believed were viewed by Your customers as alternatives to CRT Finished Products, including: (a) products other than CRT Finished Products; (b) the reasons that You believe purchasers viewed such products to be alternatives to the CRT Finished Products You purchased from the Defendants; (c) the extent to which these products had any effect on Your pricing decisions; and (d) the identity and location of Documents that relate to the matters specified in this topic.
- 15. Your policies and practices relating to Your decision to give priority to the promotion, advertising or sale of certain Defendants' CRT Finished Products over other Defendants' CRT Finished Products; to promote Defendants' CRT Finished Products over non-Defendants' CRT Finished Products; or to promote non-Defendants' CRT Finished Products over Defendants' CRT Finished Products.
 - 16. All contracts or any other agreements relating to CRTs or CRT Finished Products

between Best Buy and any entity, including the terms and conditions of any such contracts or agreements, including the scope of the agreement, choice of law, and forum selection.

- 17. Explanation of whether, based on records maintained by Best Buy, it is possible to link, trace, or otherwise establish a relationship between the CRTs or CRT Finished Products that Best Buy purchased to those that it sold and, if so, how.
- 18. Whether the CRTs contained in each of the CRT Finished Products on which Best Buy bases its claims were first shipped-to or billed-to a location outside the United States or inside the United States, and an explanation of how Best Buy made or would make that determination.
- 19. The identity of the manufacturer of the CRT contained in each of the CRT Finished Products on which Best Buy bases its claims, and an explanation of how Best Buy has made or would make that identification.
- 20. Your practices, policies and procedures concerning Your market monitoring activity for CRT Finished Products including, but not limited to the following: (a) Your competitive intelligence activities; (b) Your use of third-party data sources and market share/data analyses; and (c) Your knowledge, use, and tracking of Your competitors pricing for CRT Finished Products during the Relevant Time Period.
- 21. Best Buy's suspicions or beliefs that any manufacturer of CRTs was engaged in any anticompetitive conduct relating to CRTs and the circumstances (including dates) surrounding, and reasons for and sources of, such suspicions or beliefs, and any formal or informal investigations conducted by Best Buy to confirm or deny such suspicions or beliefs.
- 22. The identification, description, date, location, source, and persons involved in all statements that Best Buy read, heard, or otherwise became aware of upon which Best Buy bases its contention that Defendants fraudulently concealed the alleged conspiracy from Best Buy, including a description of Best Buy's reliance on any such statements.
- 23. The method(s) used by Your Company to track inventory levels, link returns and sales, and monitor product margins of CRT Finished Products You sold.
 - 24. Your standards and practices with regard to tracking the purchases and sales of CRT

individuals responsible for maintaining such data.

25. The identity of each of Your electronic databases related to the purchases and sales listed in Topics 6 and 11 above, and the contents of each such databases, including the fields and/or

column headings used in such electronic databases.

Finished Products for determining the profitability of sales, and for financial reporting purposes,

including the nature of the financial data available, the location of such data, and the names of

- 26. Your policies and practices related to the retention and deletion of all Documents and data (including any of Your electronic databases) related to the purchases and sales listed in Topics 6 and 11.
- 27. The extent to which Best Buy passed on its costs in purchasing or acquiring CRTs or CRT Finished Products to its customers, including pricing practices and timing of price increases, but not including precise figures or total amounts of price margins.
- 28. The assignment of any claims asserted in the Complaint, including the identification of the assignees, the identification of the assignors, the mechanism or form of said assignments, the consideration received for any such assignment, and any agreements or plans for the distribution of damages or settlement proceeds recovered in this action to the assignors.
- 29. Best Buy's search for, production of, and all efforts to preserve any documents that are potentially relevant to this or any other litigation or investigation concerning CRTs or CRT Finished Products.
- 30. Identification, location, last known address, telephone number, and e-mail address of any person having or believed to have any information regarding the foregoing topics or facts underlying these topics.
- 31. Identification, location, last known address, telephone number, and e-mail address of any person having or believed to have any documents or other electronic or non-electronic files regarding the foregoing topics or facts underlying these topics, including any person having or believed to have information regarding each of the fields contained in such files and the means by which those fields were constructed.

32. A complete explanation of Your transactional sales and purchase data for CRTs or CRT Finished Products, including any transactional-level sales data produced by You in this action and the information contained in such data.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March ##, 2014, I electronically caused a copy of "Notice of Deposition of Best Buy" to be served via e-mail upon Laura Nelson of Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P., counsel for Best Buy, at lenelson@rkmc.com, as well as counsel for parties who have entered an appearance in this case.

By: <u>/s/ Jennifer Stewart</u> Jennifer Stewart

Exhibit 13

Arguello, Sofia

From: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 4:29 PM

To: 'Nelson, Laura E.'

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.; 'Baumgart, Jamie L.'

Subject: RE: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Attachments: NY-#1599985-v3-CRT_-_Best_Buy_2nd_30(b)(6)_notice.DOC

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Laura,

Attached please find our revised draft 30(b)(6) topics, which take into account our discussion last week. We think based on these revisions that the scope of information we are seeking is clear, and would request that you provide us with a date by which you can identify an appropriate deponent or deponents. We would also ask that you indicate a potential time frame for the deposition(s), as we would like to get this on the calendar shortly. As you may know, various other DAPs have agreed to the broader scope of topics set forth in our initial proposed notice, and thus we are not inclined to revise the notice any further.

Additionally, we have a few other housekeeping requests. First, Best Buy had previously identified Mickey Thedens as a potentially relevant custodian in October 2012, who we understand is no longer with the company. Mr. Stone, however, testified about a Mickey *Thaden*, who served as the Senior Merchant for Computer Monitors immediately before Mr. Stone assumed that position in 1998 (Tr. at 74:12-14). Please advise as to the correct spelling of this individual's name or whether these are two different individuals.

Second, we would appreciate if you could please confirm that Pamela Andreson, who Mr. Stone testified had left Best Buy (Tr. 65:16-21), is no longer a Best Buy employee.

Finally, in October 2012, we agreed to a list of sixty-four initial custodians for which you subsequently provided "expanded relevant job tile information" consisting of the title, start date, and department for each job that the custodian held during the relevant time period. *See* Letter of March 1, 2013. On April 22, 2013, you agreed to produce the documents of fifteen additional custodians – namely, Steven J. Andrzejewski, Sheri Best, John Keith Bryan, Gholamhossein Chehrzad, Michael Cuccia, Lisa D. Hancock, Lauren Haugen, Christopher R. Koller, John P. Maskel, Patrick D. Obrien, Lance Rusco, Joseph P. Thull, Ryan L. Tykwinski, Todd Philip Walker, and Scott J. Wallace. We would request that you provide us with the same "expanded relevant job title information" for these custodians as you did for the initial custodians.

Thank you,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Winston & Strawn LLP D: +1 (212) 294-4743



1

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 27 of 83

From: Nelson, Laura E. [mailto:LENelson@rkmc.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 4:01 PM

To: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.; Baumgart, Jamie L.

Subject: RE: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Confirmed. Thanks, Jennifer.

From: Stewart, Jennifer M. [mailto:JStewart@winston.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 2:57 PM

To: Nelson, Laura E.

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.

Subject: RE: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Laura,

As discussed, Eva and I are available to speak with you about these proposed topics at 11am EDT on Tuesday morning. Please confirm your availability for the call and we can use the following dial in information:

Dial in - 1-866-844-9418 Passcode – 12122944743

Thank you,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Winston & Strawn LLP D: +1 (212) 294-4743

winston.com



From: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014 8:50 PM

To: lenelson@rkmc.com

Cc: Cole, Eva W. (EWCole@winston.com); Donovan, Molly M. (MMDonovan@winston.com)

Subject: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Dear Laura,

Attached please find our proposed notice for a second 30(b)(6) deposition of the Best Buy plaintiffs. We would like to get this scheduled for toward the end of April, but, as per our recent phone call, please let us know when you are available to discuss timing once you have considered the appropriate deponent(s).

Thank you and best regards,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Associate

Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 28 of 83

New York, NY 10166-4193 D: +1 (212) 294-4743 F: +1 (212) 294-4700

Email | winston.com

WINSTON & STRAWN

U.S. NEWS AND WORLD REPORT'S SPORTS LAW "LAW FIRM OF THE YEAR" 2012-2013



The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author.

-____

Information contained in this e-mail transmission may be privileged, confidential and covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521.

If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, distribute, or reproduce this transmission.

If you have received this e-mail transmission in error, please notify us immediately of the error by return email and please delete the message from your system.

Pursuant to requirements related to practice before the U. S. Internal Revenue Service, any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for purposes of (i) avoiding penalties imposed under the U. S. Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any tax-related matter.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. http://www.rkmc.com

1	JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice)	STEVEN A. REIS	S (pro hac vice)		
2	A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice)	DAVID L. YOHAI	(pro hac vice)		
3	ALDO A. BADINI (257086) EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice)	ADAM C. HEMLO WEIL, GOTSHAL	*		
4	MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	767 Fifth Avenue New York, New Yo	ork 10153 0110		
5	200 Park Avenue	Telephone: (212) 3	310-8000		
6	New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700	Facsimile: (212) 3 E-mail: david.yoha			
7	Facsimile: (212) 294-4700				
8	E-mail: jkessler@winston.com				
9	Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corpora				
	and Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushite	a Electric Industrial Co.,	Ltd)		
10					
11					
12					
13	IN THE UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT C	OURT		
14	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFOR	RNIA		
15	SAN FRA	NCISCO DIVISION			
16					
17	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)) Master File	No. 3:07-cv-05944 SC		
18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION) MDL NO. 1) MDL NO. 1917		
19) NOTICE () BEST BUY	F DEPOSITION OF		
20)	Hon. Samuel Conti		
21	This Document Relates To:	Judge:	Holl. Samuel Colli		
22	ALL ACTIONS)			
23)			
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF BEST BUY		Case No. 07-5944 SC		

MDL NO. 1917

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD IN THIS ACTION: 2 YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), 3 Defendants Panasonic Corporation of North America, MT Picture Display Co., Ltd., and Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd), by and through their counsel and in 4 conjunction with all defendants, will take the deposition of a designated representative of Best Buy 5 Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., 6 7 Bestbuy.com, LLC, and Magnolia HI-FI, Inc. (collectively, "Best Buy"), commencing on _ 8 2014 at 9:30 AM at the offices of Winston & Strawn LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10166. 9 The topics of the deposition are listed in Exhibit A. 10 YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that the deposition shall be recorded stenographically by a certified shorthand reporter who is duly authorized to take and transcribe said deposition, and a 11 12 real-time transcription service such as LiveNote may also be available for the use of counsel. The 13 deposition may also be recorded by videotape, and the defendants reserve the right to use the 14 videotape of the deposition at trial. 15 16 Dated: April ##, 2014 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 17 By: /s/ Eva W. Cole 18 JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) 19 ALDO A. BADINI (257086) 20 EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice) MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) 21 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue 22 New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 23 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 24 E-mail: jkessler@winston.com 25 STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice) DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice) 26 ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 27 767 Fifth Avenue 28 2

New York, New York 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 E-mail: david.yohai@weil.com Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation, Panasonic Corporation of North America, and MT Picture Display Co., Ltd.

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 32 of 83

EXHIBIT A

DEFINITIONS

- 1. "Any" shall be construed to mean "any and all."
- 2. "CRT" or "CRTs" means any (a) color picture tubes ("CPTs"), which are cathode ray tubes used primarily in color televisions; and (b) color display tubes ("CDTs"), which are used primarily in color computer monitors.
- 3. "CRT Finished Product" means televisions containing CPTs and/or computer monitors containing CDTs.
 - 4. "CRT Product" means any CRT or CRT Finished Product.
- 5. "Defendant" or "Defendants" means any of the entities currently or formerly named as defendants in this litigation and, without limitation, all of their past and present parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, joint ventures, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives (and the parents', subsidiaries', affiliates', or joint ventures' past and present officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, or representatives), and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the foregoing.
- 6. "Document" or "documents" has the broadest possible meaning pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure including, but not limited to, all writings and other tangible things upon which any form of communication is recorded or reproduced, and preliminary drafts and non-identical copies of the above (whether such copies differ from the original by reason of notation made on such copies or otherwise). Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the term "document" or "documents" includes, but is not limited to, correspondence, memoranda, notes, records, letters, envelopes, telegrams, messages, studies, analyses, contracts, agreements, working papers, accounts, analytical records, reports and/or summaries of investigations, trade letters, press releases, comparisons, books, calendars, diaries, articles, magazines, newspapers, booklets, brochures, pamphlets, circulars, bulletins, notices, drawings, diagrams, instructions, notes or minutes of meetings or of other communications of any type, including inter- and intra-office communications, questionnaires, surveys, charts, graphs, photographs, phonograph recordings, films,

tapes, disks, data cells, print-outs of information stored or maintained by electronic data processing or word processing equipment, including email, and all other data compilations from which information can be obtained (by translation, if necessary, by you through detection devices into usable form), including, but not limited to, electromagnetically sensitive storage media such as floppy disks, hard disks and magnetic tapes, and any preliminary versions, drafts or revisions of any of the foregoing. "Document" or "documents" also includes each and every file folder or other material in which the above items are stored, filed or maintained.

- 7. "Or" and "and" should be construed so as to require the broadest possible response. If, for example, a request calls for information about "A or B" or "A and B," you should produce all information about A and all the information about B, as well as all information about A and B collectively. In other words, "or" and "and" should be read as "and/or."
- 8. "Relating to," "referring to," "regarding" or "with respect to" mean, without limitation, the following concepts: discussing, describing, reflecting, dealing with, and pertaining to, analyzing, evaluating, estimating, constituting, studying, surveying, projecting, assessing, recording, summarizing, criticizing, reporting, commenting, or otherwise involving, in whole or in part.
 - 9. "Relevant Period" means March 1, 1995 to November 25, 2007.
- 10. "You," "Your," or Your Company" mean the responding plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., BestBuy.Com, L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc., together with all present and former directors, officers, employees, or agents of the entities listed in this Definition.

2

4

6

7

5

8

9

10

1112

1314

16

15

1718

19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

SCHEDULE OF TOPICS

Witnesses with knowledge of the following matters during the Relevant Period (unless a time period is specified otherwise):

- 1. Your overall corporate structure, including, the identification of departments within Best Buy responsible for the purchase, sale, pricing, marketing or distribution of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products and their functions and the identification of any individuals that had managerial responsibility for the purchase, sale, pricing, marketing or distribution of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products.
- 2. The location of Best Buy's purchasing operations for CRT or CRT Finished Products, including the location of price negotiations.
- 3. The identity and general description of the CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products Best Buy purchased, sold, marketed or distributed.
- 4. The identity of the Defendants from whom you purchased CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products, and the general and relative volume of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products You purchased from them, including any changes over the Relevant Period.
- 5. The identity of any non-Defendant manufacturers, producers or distributors from whom you purchased CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products, and the general and relative volume of CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products You purchased from them, including any changes over the Relevant Period.
 - 6. Best Buy's purchase or acquisition of CRTs or CRT Finished Products, including:
 - (a) whether Best Buy made any purchases for internal use;
 - (b) whether Best Buy purchased any refurbished products;
 - (c) whether and when Best Buy took physical possession of the purchased CRTs or CRT Finished Products; and
 - (d) whether and when transfer of title to Best Buy occurred.
- 7. The factors Best Buy considered in determining (a) from which Defendant(s) and/or non-Defendant(s) to purchase CRTs and/or CRT Finished Products, and (b) which CRTs and/or

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

CRT Finished Products to purchase from particular Defendants and non-Defendants.

- 8. The process by which You negotiated, entered into, approved or ratified purchase agreements or contracts for CRT Finished Products, including: (a) Your policies and practices regarding the negotiation of terms and conditions of such sales contracts; (b) use of standardized sales or purchase contracts; (c) use of "MFN" (Most Favored Nation) or "MFC" (Most Favored Company) clauses or similar price-protection clauses; and (d) the identity and location of documents that relate to the matters specified in this topic.
- 9. Your participation in any discounts, promotions, rebates or advertising cooperative programs provided or sponsored by any Defendant or non-Defendant from whom You purchased CRT Finished Products.
- 10. Best Buy's sales of CRTs or CRT Finished Products, including any sales by any person or entity purchasing or incorporating such CRTs or CRT Finished Products into other CRT Finished Products on Best Buy's behalf.
- 11. Your policies and practices for setting the price at which You sold CRT Finished Products to Your customers, including consideration or use of the following:
 - commission costs; (a)
 - (b) average costs;
 - (c) why pay prices;
 - meet-comping; (d)
 - (e) alternative distribution channels;
 - the percentage of price attributable to CRTs contained in CRT Finished Products sold; (f)
 - any increase of price that Best Buy quoted or charged to any customer that was (g) attributable to Best Buy's alleged overpayment of CRTs;
 - (h) below-cost pricing;
 - (i) bundled product or services pricing;
 - most-favored-nation pricing; (j)
 - (k) sale pricing;

- 16. All contracts or any other agreements relating to CRTs or CRT Finished Products between Best Buy and any entity, including the terms and conditions of any such contracts or agreements, including the scope of the agreement, choice of law, and forum selection.
- 17. Whether the CRTs contained in each of the CRT Finished Products on which Best Buy bases its claims were first shipped-to or billed-to a location outside the United States or inside the United States, and an explanation of how Best Buy made or would make that determination.
- 18. The identity of the manufacturer of the CRT contained in each of the CRT Finished Products on which Best Buy bases its claims, and an explanation of how Best Buy has made or would make that identification.
- 19. Your practices, policies and procedures concerning Your market monitoring activity for CRT Finished Products including, but not limited to the following: (a) Your competitive intelligence activities; (b) Your use of third-party data sources and market share/data analyses; and (c) Your knowledge, use, and tracking of Your competitors pricing for CRT Finished Products during the Relevant Time Period.
- 20. Best Buy's suspicions or beliefs that any manufacturer of CRTs was engaged in any anticompetitive conduct relating to CRTs and the circumstances (including dates) surrounding, and reasons for and sources of, such suspicions or beliefs, and any formal or informal investigations conducted by Best Buy to confirm or deny such suspicions or beliefs.
- 21. The identification, description, date, location, source, and persons involved in all statements that Best Buy read, heard, or otherwise became aware of upon which Best Buy bases its contention that Defendants fraudulently concealed the alleged conspiracy from Best Buy, including a description of Best Buy's reliance on any such statements.
- 22. The method(s) used by Your Company to track inventory levels, link returns and sales, and monitor product margins of CRT Finished Products You sold.
- 23. Your standards and practices with regard to tracking the purchases and sales of CRT Finished Products for determining the profitability of sales, and for financial reporting purposes, including the nature of the financial data available, the location of such data, and the names of

individuals responsible for maintaining such data.

- 24. Your policies and practices related to the retention and deletion of all Documents and data (including any of Your electronic databases) related to the purchases and sales listed in Topics 6 and 11.
- 25. The extent to which Best Buy passed on its costs in purchasing or acquiring CRTs or CRT Finished Products to its customers, including pricing practices and timing of price increases, but not including precise figures or total amounts of price margins.
- 26. The assignment of any claims asserted in the Complaint, including the identification of the assignees, the identification of the assignors, the mechanism or form of said assignments, the consideration received for any such assignment, and any agreements or plans for the distribution of damages or settlement proceeds recovered in this action to the assignors.
- 27. Best Buy's search for, production of, and all efforts to preserve any documents that are potentially relevant to this or any other litigation or investigation concerning CRTs or CRT Finished Products.
- 28. Identification, location, last known address, telephone number, and e-mail address of any person having or believed to have any information regarding the foregoing topics or facts underlying these topics.
- 29. Identification, location, last known address, telephone number, and e-mail address of any person having or believed to have any documents or other electronic or non-electronic files regarding the foregoing topics or facts underlying these topics, including any person having or believed to have information regarding each of the fields contained in such files and the means by which those fields were constructed.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on April ##, 2014, I electronically caused a copy of "Notice of Deposition of Best Buy" to be served via e-mail upon Laura Nelson of Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P., counsel for Best Buy, at lenelson@rkmc.com, as well as counsel for parties who have entered an appearance in this case. <u>/s/ Jennifer Stewart</u> Jennifer Stewart By:

Exhibit 14

Arguello, Sofia

From: Nelson, Laura E. <LENelson@rkmc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 6:24 PM

To: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.; Baumgart, Jamie L.

Subject: Re: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Jennifer,

We are reviewing your revised notice with our client and will be putting up one or more witnesses on the topics subject to our objections. We will provide dates shortly. On our last call, I was told I would be receiving a list of the fact witnesses you wanted to depose when I received the revised draft notice. I still have not received that list, but would appreciate receiving it as soon as possible as it may impact scheduling of the 30(b)(6).

Best, Laura

On Apr 9, 2014, at 5:02 PM, "Stewart, Jennifer M." <JStewart@winston.com> wrote:

Dear Laura,

We have been unable to reach you by phone this week. We still have not received a response to our 30(b)(6) topics, or received from you the name or names of Best Buy's deponent(s) or a date by which you will provide us with this information. As we have previously explained, there are already depositions on the calendar for virtually all of the other DAPs in this litigation and there have been no issues with the general scope of these topics for those depositions that have been taken to date.

Unless we hear from you by the end of the day tomorrow with a date by which Best Buy will identify its deponent and some proposed dates for this deposition, we will need to seek Judge Walker's intervention. We would prefer to resolve this without the court's assistance, but we need to move forward with this discovery in order to comply with the rigorous schedule in this case.

Thank you,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Winston & Strawn LLP D: +1 (212) 294-4743

winston.com

<image001.jpg>

From: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Sent: Tuesday, April 01, 2014 4:29 PM

To: Nelson, Laura E.

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.; Baumgart, Jamie L.

Subject: RE: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Dear Laura,

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 43 of 83

Attached please find our revised draft 30(b)(6) topics, which take into account our discussion last week. We think based on these revisions that the scope of information we are seeking is clear, and would request that you provide us with a date by which you can identify an appropriate deponent or deponents. We would also ask that you indicate a potential time frame for the deposition(s), as we would like to get this on the calendar shortly. As you may know, various other DAPs have agreed to the broader scope of topics set forth in our initial proposed notice, and thus we are not inclined to revise the notice any further.

Additionally, we have a few other housekeeping requests. First, Best Buy had previously identified Mickey Thedens as a potentially relevant custodian in October 2012, who we understand is no longer with the company. Mr. Stone, however, testified about a Mickey *Thaden*, who served as the Senior Merchant for Computer Monitors immediately before Mr. Stone assumed that position in 1998 (Tr. at 74:12-14). Please advise as to the correct spelling of this individual's name or whether these are two different individuals.

Second, we would appreciate if you could please confirm that Pamela Andreson, who Mr. Stone testified had left Best Buy (Tr. 65:16-21), is no longer a Best Buy employee.

Finally, in October 2012, we agreed to a list of sixty-four initial custodians for which you subsequently provided "expanded relevant job tile information" consisting of the title, start date, and department for each job that the custodian held during the relevant time period. *See* Letter of March 1, 2013. On April 22, 2013, you agreed to produce the documents of fifteen additional custodians – namely, Steven J. Andrzejewski, Sheri Best, John Keith Bryan, Gholamhossein Chehrzad, Michael Cuccia, Lisa D. Hancock, Lauren Haugen, Christopher R. Koller, John P. Maskel, Patrick D. Obrien, Lance Rusco, Joseph P. Thull, Ryan L. Tykwinski, Todd Philip Walker, and Scott J. Wallace. We would request that you provide us with the same "expanded relevant job title information" for these custodians as you did for the initial custodians.

Thank you,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Winston & Strawn LLP D: +1 (212) 294-4743

winston.com

<image001.jpg>

From: Nelson, Laura E. [mailto:LENelson@rkmc.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 4:01 PM

To: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.; Baumgart, Jamie L.

Subject: RE: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Confirmed. Thanks, Jennifer.

From: Stewart, Jennifer M. [mailto:JStewart@winston.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 20, 2014 2:57 PM

To: Nelson, Laura E.

Cc: Cole, Eva W.; Donovan, Molly M.

Subject: RE: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Laura,

As discussed, Eva and I are available to speak with you about these proposed topics at 11am EDT on Tuesday morning. Please confirm your availability for the call and we can use the following dial in information:

Dial in - 1-866-844-9418 Passcode – 12122944743

Thank you,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Winston & Strawn LLP D: +1 (212) 294-4743

winston.com

<image001.jpg>

From: Stewart, Jennifer M.

Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014 8:50 PM

To: lenelson@rkmc.com

Cc: Cole, Eva W. (EWCole@winston.com); Donovan, Molly M. (MMDonovan@winston.com)

Subject: CRT - Best Buy 30(b)(6) Deposition

Dear Laura,

Attached please find our proposed notice for a second 30(b)(6) deposition of the Best Buy plaintiffs. We would like to get this scheduled for toward the end of April, but, as per our recent phone call, please let us know when you are available to discuss timing once you have considered the appropriate deponent(s).

Thank you and best regards,

Jennifer

Jennifer M. Stewart

Associate

Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193 D: +1 (212) 294-4743

F: +1 (212) 294-4700 Email | winston.com

<image001.jpg>

<image002.jpg>

The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author.

Case 4:07-cv-05944-JST Document 2677-10 Filed 07/07/14 Page 45 of 83

Any tax advice contained in this email was not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you (or any other taxpayer) to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Information contained in this e-mail transmission may be privileged, confidential and covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521.

If you are not the intended recipient, do not read, distribute, or reproduce this transmission.

If you have received this e-mail transmission in error, please notify us immediately of the error by return email and please delete the message from your system.

Pursuant to requirements related to practice before the U. S. Internal Revenue Service, any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used, and cannot be used, for purposes of (i) avoiding penalties imposed under the U. S. Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any tax-related matter.

Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P. http://www.rkmc.com

The contents of this message may be privileged and confidential. Therefore, if this message has been received in error, please delete it without reading it. Your receipt of this message is not intended to waive any applicable privilege. Please do not disseminate this message without the permission of the author.

Any tax advice contained in this email was not intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you (or any other taxpayer) to avoid penalties under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

EXHIBIT 15 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

Exhibit 16

	*	
1 2	JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice)	STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice) DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice)
3	ALDO A. BADINI (257086) EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice)	ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
4	MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice)	767 Fifth Avenue
5	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue	New York, New York 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000
6	New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700	Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 E-mail: david.yohai@weil.com
7	Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 E-mail: jkessler@winston.com	
8	Attorneys for Defendant Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.)	
9	Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page	
10		
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN FR	ANCISCO DIVISION
14	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	Case No. Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC
15	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1971
16	This Document Relates to:	Individual Case No. 3:11-ev-05513-SC
17		DEFENDANTS PANASONIC
18	BEST BUY ACTION 11-cv-05513 SC	CORPORATION AND LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S THIRD SET OF
19		INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFFS
21	*	BEST BUY CO., INC., BEST BUY PURCHASING LLC, BEST BUY
22		ENTERPRISE SERVICES, INC.; BEST BUY STORES, L.P., BESTBUY.COM,
23		LLC, AND MAGNOLIA HI-FI, INC.
24	PROPOUNDING PARTIES:	Defendants Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) and LG Electronics, Inc.
25	RESPONDING PARTY:	Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best
26	ALLO CIVILIO IIMI I	Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., Bestbuy.com, L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc.
27	SET NO.:	THIRD
28		
	DEFENDANTS PANASONIC CORP. AND LG EL	

MDL NO. 1917

THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO BEST BUY

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) and LG Electronics, Inc. hereby request that Plaintiffs Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., Bestbuy.com, L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc. ("Plaintiffs") in the above-captioned action respond to the following second set of interrogatories (the "Interrogatories"). Plaintiffs are directed to serve verified answers in conformance with the above-cited rules at the offices of WINSTON & STRAWN LLP, Attn: Jennifer Stewart, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166 (or at such other place as may be agreed upon by the parties), within thirty (30) days after the date of the service hereof.

DEFINITIONS

For the purposes of these Interrogatories, the following definitions apply:

- A. "All" shall be construed as all, each, any, and every.
- B. "And" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively as necessary to bring within the scope of the Interrogatories all information that might otherwise be construed to be outside of their scope.
- C. "Complaint" means the First Amended Complaint filed by Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc., Best Buy Stores, L.P., Bestbuy.com, L.L.C., and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc., on October 3, 2013 as Case No. 3:11-cv-05513-SC.
 - D. "CRTs" means cathode ray tubes.
 - E. "CRT Product(s)" means products containing CRTs.
- F. "Document(s)" has the broadest possible meaning permissible under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or applicable precedent, including but not limited to any written, printed, typed, recorded, filmed, punched, transcribed, taped or other graphic matter of any kind or nature, however produced or reproduced, whether in hard copy, electronic, or other form, and includes, without limitation, pamphlets, brochures, books, booklets, information sheets, papers, articles, journals, magazines, computer printouts, Internet search results, tapes, discs or other forms of audio, visual or audio/visual recordings, records, memoranda, reports, financial statements, affidavits, handwritten and

Case No. 07-5944 SC

MDL NO. 1917

other notes, transcripts, paper, indices, letters, envelopes, telegrams, cables, electronic mail messages, telex messages, telecopied messages, telephone messages, summaries or records of telephone conversations, summaries or records of personal conversations or interviews, summaries or records of meetings or conferences, minutes or transcriptions or notations of meetings or telephone conversations or other communications of any type, tabulations, studies, analyses, evaluations, projections, work papers, statements, summaries, opinions, journals, desk calendars, product labels, prescriptions, package inserts or other information accompanying medications, maintenance or service records, appointment books, diaries, billing records, checks, bank account statements, invoices, photographs, microfilms, tapes or other records, punch cards, magnetic tapes, discs, data cells, drums, printouts, other data compilations (in any form) from which information can be obtained, recordings made through data processing techniques and the written information necessary to understand and use such materials, and any other documents discoverable under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

- G. "Person" means and includes all natural persons or entities, governmental units, partnerships, firms, corporations, associations, joint ventures, any other form of business organization or arrangement, or any form of public, private or legal entity.
- H. "You" and "Your" means the Plaintiffs responding to these interrogatories, their predecessors in interest, divisions, successors, and assigns, the present and former officers, directors, employees, attorneys, agents, and representatives of any of the above, and all persons acting or purporting to act on their behalf. "You" or "Your" includes, but is not limited to, all entities who assigned their claims to You.
 - I. The singular form of any noun or pronoun includes the plural, and vice versa.
- J. Terms in the present tense include terms in the past tense, and terms in the past tense include terms in the present tense.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

 Answers to these Interrogatories are to be based upon all knowledge or information available to You, including, but not limited to, all information or knowledge derivable from business or other records, and all knowledge or information possessed by any person, including but not

- 2. Each Interrogatory is to be answered separately and in order, and shall be construed independently and not by reference to any other Interrogatory.
- 3. Plaintiffs should answer each Interrogatory fully, unless it is objected to, in which event the reasons for the objection should be specifically and separately stated.
- 4. Plaintiffs shall sign any answers or responses; objections, if any, are to be signed by the attorney making them.
- 5. Where a complete answer to a particular Interrogatory is not possible, the Interrogatory should be answered to the extent possible and a statement should be made indicating why only a partial answer is given, together with the identity of any Documents or sources from which more complete information is obtainable.
- 6. With respect to any Interrogatory that You may allege that You are unable to answer due to insufficient knowledge, You are requested to specify the nature of the inquiries made in an attempt to enable You to answer the Interrogatory, including the identity of any person communicated with in an attempt to enable You to respond fully to the Interrogatory.
- 7. If, in answering these Interrogatories, You claim any ambiguity in interpreting either a particular Interrogatory or a definition or instruction, such claim shall not be utilized as a basis for refusing to answer. Instead, You shall set forth as part of the answer the language deemed to be ambiguous and the interpretation utilized in the response to the Interrogatory.
- 8. When referring to a person, "identify" means to state the person's full name, present or last known address, telephone number, present or last known place of employment, and present or last known title at that place of employment. Once a person has been identified in accordance with this paragraph, only the name of the person need be listed in response to subsequent discovery requesting the identification of the person.
- 9. When referring to a purchase, "identify" means to identify each person involved in negotiating the purchase, the place(s) of purchase negotiation, the date and place of purchase, size,

8

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

model, type (CPT or CDT), seller and manufacturer of each CRT purchased, each Person involved in the purchase and the time period and nature of each Person's involvement, and any Documents or other evidence of the purchase.

10. If You elect to produce business records in response to an Interrogatory pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33(d), You shall specifically identify the portion(s) of the business record You produced or will produce that are responsive to the Interrogatory. You shall produce the business records as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the Interrogatory. For all documents produced pursuant to Rule 33(d), identify the name of the employee, officer, or agent certifying the documents as business records.

INTERROGATORIES

Interrogatory No. 16:

16. Identify your executives, employees, or agents who participated in any market monitoring activity, including those in the Competitive Strategies Group, related to CRTs or CRT Products. Market monitoring activity includes, but is not limited to, the following: (a) Your competitive intelligence activities; (b) Your use of third-party data sources or market share/data analyses; (c) Your knowledge, use, or tracking of Your competitors' prices; (d) Your knowledge, use, or tracking of Your suppliers' prices; (e) Your knowledge, use, or tracking of Your competitors' promotions; (f) Your knowledge, use, or tracking of Your suppliers' promotions; and/or (g) Your or any of your executives', employees', or agents' participation in any meetings with Your competitors.

Interrogatory No. 17:

17. For each person identified in response to Interrogatory No. 16, separately describe the nature of his or her knowledge, responsibility, or involvement regarding market monitoring activity.

Interrogatory No. 18:

18. Identify each person You believe to have knowledge that supports the fraudulent concealment allegations in Your Complaint, including at paragraphs 220 through 232.

1 Interrogatory No. 19: 2 19. To the extent not already identified in Interrogatories No. 16 through 18, Identify 3 each person You believe to have knowledge that supports the allegations in Your Complaint. 4 Interrogatory No. 20: 5 20. For each person identified in response to Interrogatory No. 19, separately Identify the nature of his or her knowledge that supports the allegations in your Complaint. 6 7 8 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Dated: April 4, 2014 9 By: /s/ Eva W. Cole 10 JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) 11 ALDO A. BADINI (257086) EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice) 12 MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 13 200 Park Avenue 14 New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 15 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700 E-mail: jkessler@winston.com 16 17 STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice) DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice) 18 ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 19 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119 20 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 21 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 E-mail: david.yohai@weil.com 22 Attorneys for Defendant Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a 23 Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.) 24 By: /s/ Hojoon Hwang 25 Hojoon Hwang MÜNGER, TÖLLES & OLSON LLP 26 560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 27 Tel: 415-512-4000 Fax: 415-512-4077 28 6

DEFENDANTS PANASONIC CORP. AND LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO BEST BUY

Case No. 07-5944 SC MDL NO. 1917

DEFENDANTS PANASONIC CORP. AND LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO BEST BUY

Case No. 07-5944 SC MDL NO. 1917

1 2 3	JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) ALDO A. BADINI (257086)	STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice) DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice) ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice)
5 6 7	EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice) MOLLY M. DONOVAN (pro hac vice) WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166-4193 Telephone: (212) 294-6700 Facsimile: (212) 294-4700	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007 E-mail: david.yohai@weil.com
8	E-mail: jkessler@winston.com	
9	Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation (f/k/a Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.)	
10	Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page	
11		
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13		STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14		NCISCO DIVISION
15	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC
16		MDL No. 1971
17	This Document Relates to:	Individual Case No. 3:11-cv-05513-SC
18	BEST BUY ACTION 11-cv-05513 SC	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
19		
20		
21	9 g	
22		•
23		•
24		
25		
26	Ea E.§	
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case No. 07-5944 SC MDL NO. 1917

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 2 I declare that I am employed with the law firm of Winston & Strawn LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166. I am not a party to the within cause, and I am over the age of eighteen years. I further declare that on April 4, 2014, I served a copy of: 3 4 DEFENDANTS PANASONIC CORPORATION AND LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFFS BEST BUY CO., INC., BEST BUY 5 PURCHASING LLC, BEST BUY ENTERPRISE SERVICES, INC.; BEST BUY STORES, 6 L.P., BESTBUY.COM, LLC, AND MAGNOLIA HI-FI, INC. 7 \boxtimes BY ELECTRONIC MAIL by sending a true copy thereof to the addressees, as stated below. 8 Roman M. Silberfeld Philip J. Iovieno E-mail: RMSilberfeld@rkmc.com E-mail: piovieno@bsfllp.com 10 David Martinez William A. Isaacson E-mail: DMartinez@rkmc.com E-mail: wisaacson@bsfllp.com 11 Laura E. Nelson BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER E-mail: LeNelson@rkmc.com LLP 12 ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P. 13 Liaison Counsel for Direct Action **Plaintiffs** 14 Attorneys for Best Buy Co., Inc., Best Buy Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise 15 Services, Inc.; Best Buy Stores, L.P., Bestbuy.com, llc, and Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc. 16 17 Mario N. Alioto Guido Saveri E-mail: malioto@tatp.com E-mail: guido@saveri.com 18 TRUMP, ALIOTO, TRUMP & PRESCOTT, LLP SAVERI & SAVERI, INC. 19 Interim Lead Counsel for the Indirect Purchaser Interim Lead Counsel for the Direct **Plaintiffs** Purchaser Plaintiffs 20 21 Executed on April 4, 2014 at New York, New York. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. 22 23 Jennifer M. Stewart 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT 17 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

Exhibit 18

Volume 8

Pages 1124 - 1283

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON, JUDGE

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT-PANEL))
ANTITRUST LITIGATION.) NO. C 07-MDL-1827 SI

San Francisco, California Individual Cases:
Thursday CASE NO. 10-CV-4572
August 1, 2013 CASE NO. 12-CV-4114
8:37 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Best Buy Plaintiffs:

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI LLP

2049 Century Park East

Suite 3400

Los Angeles, California 90067

BY: ROMAN M. SILBERFELD, ESQ.

DAVID MARTINEZ, ESQ. LAURA E. NELSON, ESQ. BERNICE CONN, ESQ.

MICHAEL A. GEIBELSON, ESQ.

For Defendant HannStar Display Corporation:

FREITAS TSENG & KAUFMAN, LLP

100 Marine Parkway

Suite 200

Redwood Shores, California 94065

BY: ROBERT E. FREITAS, ESQ.

JASON SHEFFIELD ANGELL, ESQ. JESSICA NICOLE LEAL, ESQ.

(Appearances continued, next page)

APPEARANCES, CONTINUED:

For Toshiba Defendants:

WHITE & CASE LLP

701 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

BY: CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN, ESQ.

JOHN H. CHUNG, ESQ.
J. MARK GIDLEY, ESQ.
AGATHA KOPROWSKI, ESQ.
HEATHER BURKE, ESQ.
MARTIN TOTO, ESQ.

Also Present: Naomi Kusakabe

Alex Tsai Paul Chiu Wendy Fritz

Julius Christensen

Reported by: BELLE BALL, CSR #8785, CRR, RDR

CONNIE KUHL, CSR #13173, RMR, CRR

Official Reporters, U.S. District Court

FRITZ - CROSS EXAMINATION / CURRAN

Α 1 Correct. 2 Q And what type of information does NPD gather there? 3 Α Sales information, right. 4 Q Price? Α 5 Yes. 6 Q Quantity? 7 Α Categories. Uh-huh. Does it report on trends and things like that? 8 Q 9 Α They do some trend reporting, yes. 10 Q And then, is Stevenson another company like NPD? 11 Α Similar, but it's survey-based. 12 Okay. And, is that again a subscription service that 13 Best Buy subscribes to? 14 Α We have a partnership with them as well. Okay. And then, Ms. Fritz, I think you also referred to 15 Q having had a field intelligence team. Is that right? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Okay. What was the field intelligence team? We refer to it as the "competitive intelligence team." 19 Α 20 I mentioned, it's been scaled back significantly, just based on 21 cost and that kind of thing. 22 But, the main focus of that, again, was people in the 23 specific markets understanding what our competition was doing, 24 and making sure that there was line of sight, one.

Two, other promotions that were going and pricing going

FRITZ - CROSS EXAMINATION / CURRAN

- back to our price match guarantee, we wanted to make sure we
 were competitive. If we weren't competitive, they would be
 reporting that back to the buyer team to make sure that there
 was awareness of that.
 - **Q** So this competitive field intelligence team would go out in the marketplace, including store visits at competitor sites, and gather up information. Is that right?
 - A Yes.
- 9 **Q** And, use other resources available to them to gather up information?
- 11 **A** I can't speak for other resources.
- Okay. And, you said it's been scaled back recently.
- When?

5

6

7

- 17 Q Okay. Is Mr. Britton still an employee of Best Buy?
- 18 **A** I believe so.
- 20 And he's been the head of competitive intelligence at Best Buy, right?
- 21 A He was not the head of it. He was, I think, a manager in competitive intelligence. But --
- 23 **Q** Who was the head, or who is the head of Best Buy's competitive field intelligence team?
- 25 **A** At one time, Mike Ray headed up our competitive

FRITZ - CROSS EXAMINATION / CURRAN

BY MR. CURRAN:

1

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Well, Ms. Fritz, does it appear to you that these pages at the back (Indicating) were a -- represent a periodic
- distribution of information from Asia?
 - A It -- this (Indicating) is a distribution of information from Asia. Whether it was a periodic update, I cannot say.
 - **Q** Okay. Why was this information being distributed and disseminated within Best Buy, including at the highest levels?
 - **A** I could not specify why.
- 10 **Q** Ma'am, wasn't it so Best Buy could compete more effectively against its competitors?
 - A We were always gathering competitive information, so that we were knowledgeable about what was happening in the marketplace.
 - Q Ms. Fritz, you testified this morning about Black Friday.

 And, I think you said it was the most important day in the most important time of the year in retail. Did I get that right?
- 18 **A** Yes.
 - Q And I think Mr. Silberfeld asked you, and I think you agreed, that Black Friday was the day that retailers, at least apocryphally, became profitable during the year, right?
 - A I can't remember specifically what he asked me, but what I was explaining was Black Friday was a key prominent time during the holiday, and really kind of kicked off the holiday time frame. And to a large degree, would dictate success and

FRITZ - CROSS EXAMINATION / CURRAN

- Okay. Do you know, did Wal-Mart change their forecast as to that product?
 - A I don't recall.
- 4 Q All right. Ms. Fritz, so we have just seen the use of some competitive intelligence at Best Buy. Right?
- 6 A Yes.

3

- So, the effort to gather and assemble competitive information, including on price and quantity, and the use of that information within Best Buy, for its own decision-making.
- 10 Right?

16

11 **A** Can you repeat that?

strategy should be.

- 12 **Q** Yeah. So, so, through the last three exhibits, we've seen the gathering of competitive information within Best Buy, the analysis of it, including competitors' pricing and quantity information, and the determination by Best Buy as to what its
- 17 A Yes. It was common practice for us to gather competitive information.
- 19 Q And to use it in your decision-making.
- 20 And use it as an input -- one input into our decision-making.
- Q Was that activity, in your judgment, consistent with Best Buy's code of business ethics?
- 24 **A** Yes.
- 25 **Q** Why?

EXHIBIT 19 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 20 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 21 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 22 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 23 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 24 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 25 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 26 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 27 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

EXHIBIT 28 [Highly Confidential – Filed Under Seal]

Exhibit 29

Pages 313 - 520

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON

In Re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel)
Antitrust Litigation.

No. M. 07-01827 SI

San Francisco, California

Tuesday

May 22, 2012 8:42 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Direct Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein

Purchaser 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor

Plaintiffs San Francisco, CA 94111

(415) 956-1000

(415) 956-1008 (fax)

BY: RICHARD HEIMANN BRENDAN GLACKIN

For Direct Pearson, Simon, Warshaw & Penny, LLP

Purchaser 44 Montgomery Street

Plaintiffs Suite 2450

San Francisco, CA 94104

(415) 433-9000

(415) 433-9008 (fax)

BY: BRUCE LEE SIMON

AARON SHEANIN

For Plaintiff Polsinelli Shughart, PC Crago Corporation Twelve Wyandotte Plaza

120 West 12th Street

Kansas City, MO 64105-1929

(816) 421-3355

BY: DANIEL D. OWEN

(Appearances continued on next page)

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:

For Defendant White & Case

Toshiba Corporation 701 Thirteenth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005

(202) 626-3600

(202) 639-9355 (fax)

BY: CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN

J. MARK GIDLEY JOHN CHUNG MARTIN TOTO

White & Case, LLP 3000 El camino Real

5 Palo Alto Square, 9th Floor

Palo Alto, CA 94306

(650) 213-0300

BY: HEATHER M. BURKE

For H. S. Suh Covington & Burling, LLP

1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2401

(202) 662-6000 (202 778-5429 (fax)

BY: DEREK LUDWIN

ALSO PRESENT: Naomi Kusakabe, Toshiba

Julius G. Christensen, Toshiba

Phyllis Kim, Korean-language interpreter

Jared Taylor, Japanese-language interpreter

12:04:29 MR. OWEN: Objection. May we approach? 12:04:32 THE COURT: Yes. 12:09:53 (Whereupon the following discussion was had at side bar) 12:09:53 MR. OWEN: We believe that questions regarding 12:09:54 pricing violate the Court's Order in limine about the 12:09:55 pass-through or any kind of downstream sales after the direct 12:09:56 purchase by Crago. THE COURT: I'm assuming you're not going to violate 12:09:57 12:09:58 the order. 12:09:58 MR. GIDLEY: Well, your Honor, we think that the 12:09:59 evidence goes to the substantial-affects issue, first. 12:10:00 Second, they spent some time on --12:10:01 THE COURT: But there's an Order, you know. There's 12:10:02 an Order. 12:10:02 MR. GIDLEY: Yes, your Honor. Pass-through. I'm not 12:10:03 asking -- I'm not arguing that ultimate end customers paid 12:10:04 more. 12:10:04 Instead, your Honor, the fact that he's made 12:10:05 purchases of these monitors, and his customers, in turn, are 12:10:06 price sensitive, means that he would be a price-sensitive 12:10:08 purchaser. And I think I'm allowed to establish that, 12:10:09 your Honor. 12:10:09 THE COURT: So what were you planning to ask him? 12:10:10 MR. GIDLEY: I'm going to ask him about his practices 12:10:11 in the industry, as a purchaser; and why he would want to seek,

12:10:12 12:10:14 12:10:16 12:10:17 12:10:18 12:10:20 12:10:21 12:10:22 12:10:23 12:10:24 12:10:24 12:10:25 12:10:27 12:10:27 12:10:28 12:10:30 12:10:31 12:10:32 12:10:34 12:10:34 12:10:35 12:10:36 12:10:38 12:10:39

12:10:40

as a purchaser, low prices; and the steps he takes to do that.

Now, his testimony on Direct was that he's a CEO, and not a salesman, as if he's disconnected from sales.

In point of fact, when steep discount is offered to a customer, the salesman has to come to the CEO as a loner.

That's all I want at this point in the exam.

MR. CURRAN: If I could add, I believe your Honor's rulings on motions in limine left the door open on substantial fact FTAIA-type issues.

MR. SIMON: Well --

THE COURT: I'm still not clear. You're asking him:

Does he care about the prices that he has to pay?

MR. GIDLEY: Right. And why does he care? He cares because his customers, in turn, were price sensitive, because they can always run off to Sam's or Dell or another competitor.

So, having opened the door, talking about what's going on in Kansas City, I think we want to talk about what's the industry custom in Kansas City of a purchaser of class panels.

MR. OWEN: There was no opening of the door about the downstream prices. That is what is prohibited. And the minute he's talking — he begins to talk about what price you resold these goods at, he's into the prohibited area.

THE COURT: Well, if you want to ask him if he cares what price he has to pay, that's fair game.

12:10:41 And if you're asking him, "Was it a competitive 12:10:42 market such that, if people didn't buy from him, there were 12:10:44 other places they could buy from," I think that's fair game, 12:10:45 too. 12:10:45 The concern, though, is any emphasis on -- on the 12:10:47 pass-through. That's what I'm worried about. 12:10:48 MR. GIDLEY: I'll try to be extremely careful. We'll 12:10:49 take it one question --12:10:50

12:10:50

12:10:52

12:10:53

12:10:55

12:10:55

12:10:57

12:10:58

12:10:59

12:11:00

12:11:02

12:11:02

12:11:04

12:11:05

12:11:06

12:11:07

12:11:08

THE COURT: The fact that the markets are competitive in terms of that he would like to get as cheap a purchase price for himself that he can, and that his customers also want to pay as little as they can get by with -- that's fine.

MR. SIMON: We've got to be very careful, though.

There's really no undoing it if we pass through the pass-through line. So, you know, we'll rely on the good faith of counsel not to tread there, but it's -- once the information is out that he's reseller, and passed anything through, then we're -- you can't unring that bell, really.

THE COURT: Mr. Simon, every human in this courtroom knows about that, so don't give me bells unrigging; but I'm assuming counsel's going to follow the orders of the Court, and that, because of the way the law is situated, is just completely off limits, but --

MR. GIDLEY: And, your Honor, there may be one other gray area, so when I get to that in the exam, I'd like to come

12:11:10 back to a quick --12:11:10 **THE COURT:** How about doing it now? 12:11:11 MR. GIDLEY: Okay. The second issue is what he does, 12:11:12 as a purchaser, to find out if he's getting good prices. 12:11:13 And he calls competitors in the Kansas City area and 12:11:15 in Wichita to get price information, either directly from the 12:11:16 competitor, or by means of customers getting a price list; very 12:11:18 parallel to what's been alleged against Toshiba. 12:11:19 And we think that's really effective, for two 12:11:19 reasons. 12:11:20 First, whatever the plaintiff does is relevant for 12:11:20 the jury to weigh what the defendant does. And there are cases I can hand up to your Honor: McDonnell Douglas case and the 12:11:22 12:11:23 Motorola case. 12:11:23 THE COURT: Has he already testified that he calls 12:11:24 around? 12:11:25 MR. SIMON: (Shakes head from side to side) 12:11:25 MR. CURRAN: In his deposition. 12:11:25 MR. GIDLEY: Yes, yes. Two passages. 12:11:26 No objection. No objection. MR. OWEN: 12:11:27 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12:11:27 MR. GIDLEY: Thank you, your Honor. 12:11:28 (End of side bar) 12:11:28 BY MR. GIDLEY 12:11:29 Q. Mr. Allen, sir, do you care about the purchase price of